

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/828,010	04/06/2001	David L. Patton	82462RLO	6397
75	590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
Thomas H. Close			PATEL, SHEFALI D	
Patent Legal Sta Eastman Kodak			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street	<u> </u>		2621	
Rochester, NY	14650-2201		DATE MAH ED. 10/04/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/828,010	PATTON ET AL.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shefali D Patel	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
 7.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet							

Continuation of 10. Other:

Applicants' argue on page 4 of the After Final response that "a review of our records indicate that Ferguson was never cited prior to this rejection. The Examiner is asked to reconsider his holding that this Office Action is final."

The final action mailed on July 1, 2004 on page 6 paragraph 7 lines 1-3 stated: "Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL." The amendment to claim 1 lines 6-7 filed on April 26, 2004 necessitated the new grounds of rejection made on July 1, 2004.

Applicants' further argue on page 4-5 regarding rejection made using Ferguson (US 6,615,648) on claim 1. Applicants state: "Nowhere is there any suggestion or motivation of providing a detectable material on a surface of a preexisting structure and ensuring that portion of the detectable material will be present in a failure."

Examiner disagrees. Given the broadest interpretation of the claim 1, Ferguson discloses providing (i.e., to make available or to made ready ahead of time) detectable material (i.e., binder material) on a surface or in an existing man-made structure (col. 6 lines 17-23) as recited in claim 1. Note: Ferguson discloses already having provided binder material on a road surface, which is detected using the camera.

DANIEL MIRIAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER